

Moray: Bridging an Ancient Culture of Innovation with Emerging Pedagogies in Engineering

<https://doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v8i4.8139>

Melanie Cornejo, Brian O'Hara^(✉),
Francisco Tarazona-Vasquez, Francisca Barrios, Mauricio Power
Universidad de Ingeniería y Tecnología, Lima, Peru
bohara@utec.edu.pe

Abstract—From its inception, Universidad de Ingeniería y Tecnología (UTECH) has functioned under the mandate of causing a disruptive effect in society by educating a new generation of holistic engineers bound to become empowered change agents. The university has recently embarked on a radical transformation of its educational model, in order to deliver this promise. A flexible curriculum provides students not only with a strong STHEAM backbone imparted in a student-centered active-learning format, but also exposes them to real engineering challenges and promotes the acquisition of professional skills from the onset. For this change to be implemented successfully, UTECH has decided to design and launch a Laboratory for Educational Innovation, called Moray. Conceived as an open platform, Moray sits at the intersection of physical and virtual spaces and is organized around flexible protocols that allow faculty, students, staff, and experts from top universities worldwide to work interdisciplinarily and collaboratively, towards the enhancement of teaching and learning dynamics in higher education.

Keywords—Educational Innovation, Engineering Education, Emerging Pedagogies, Student-Centered Teaching, T-Shaped Engineers

1 Introduction

The founders of Universidad de Ingeniería y Tecnología (UTECH) conferred one main mandate to its executive team: to cause a disruptive change in society by educating a new generation of holistic and global engineers. The university was launched in 2012 as a non-for-profit organization, under the premise of giving Peruvian youth access to a world-class and future-proof educational model in Engineering, regardless of each individual's socioeconomic status, and only dependent on his or her talent, disposition, creativity and intellectual capacity.

To be able to live up to this vision and deliver its promise to students and society at large, the university has recently embarked on a radical transformation of its educational model. It has now instituted a more flexible and project-based curriculum, that provides students not only with a strong scientific, disciplinary and technical backbone, but also exposes them to “real life” engineering challenges, thus promoting the

acquisition of professional skills from the very first day. All of the content transmission and skill-building dynamics are carried out in student-centered, active-learning formats that promote student engagement, development of intrinsic motivation and deep learning. This structural change should create the conditions for the formation of the T-shaped professionals the world is in such high demand for.

Furthermore, in order to facilitate the “structural re-engineering” of the traditional engineering program and a successful implementation, UTEC has decided to implement a Laboratory for Educational Innovation named “Moray”, after an archaeological site in Cusco, Peru, which is considered to have been a controlled environment for hydraulic and agricultural experimentation for the Inca civilization. This paper describes the design and implementation process of this laboratory, whose main purpose is to provide faculty with the training and support before, throughout and beyond this change process.

2 Background and Motivation

The science behind learning has significantly evolved in the last few decades, highlighting the deficiencies of traditional models for Engineering Education[1]. Diverse entities have asked Engineering Schools across the globe to, on the one hand, strengthen and widen the scope of the fundamental sciences; and on the other hand, expose students to real-world engineering challenges, develop in them effective communication and teamwork skills, and also cultivate critical and ethical thinking[2]. All of this while reducing the number of hours in the curriculum, to allow most students to graduate in time. Traditional Engineering Education and its unrevised teaching methodologies cannot, as data shows, fulfill these great expectations[1]. Today we count on proven theories on the science of learning (i.e. how people learn in a pedagogically-sound and relevant manner) and on the science of instruction (i.e. how teachers or mentors should help students learn), based on cognitive theory and neuroscience[3]. There is now an almost unanimous agreement that people learn by doing, experiencing and reflecting on the results, whereas they absorb and retain a rather small fraction of what they see and hear in a lecture-heavy format[4].

In addition, the advances in telecommunications and digitalization of information have revolutionized the processes of knowledge production and transference. The mission of universities is to prepare their students for a modern professional career, encouraging them to actively engage with advanced technologies and develop functional skills in relation to new media, so that they can successfully integrate themselves into a society increasingly organized around these[5], [6]. Such developments have given rise to new scenarios of academic training, contents and processes of teaching and learning[7]. As a consequence, the adoption of a student-centered educational focus has led academic institutions to redefine the dynamics of teaching and learning that had previously been confined, predominantly, to the traditional classroom[8].

In view of these advancements in the learning sciences and the digital revolution, last year, and one year before graduating the first class, UTEC’s higher administration

and the Board of Directors decided to embark on a root-and-branch reform to be aligned with these changes and to ensure the preparation of holistic and T-shaped engineers. This disruptive change is embodied in what is now known as UTEC's Educational Model (UEM), which in turn aims at ensuring that our students attain five main competencies. We consider these competencies necessary to truly become the holistic engineers that Peru and the world require, in the face of the fourth industrial revolution:

1. Deep technical, disciplinary and interdisciplinary knowledge
2. Analytical reasoning and critical thinking for complex problem solving
3. Communication and collaboration skills across disciplines and cultures
4. Ability and will to lead the innovation and change processes
5. Ethical and socially responsible thinking and doing, both on a local and global basis

In short, UEM seeks to educate global professionals, able to understand, disaggregate and solve complex problems in a creative and ethical way; and communicate these solutions effectively. The model is highly student-centered and aims at awakening students' intrinsic motivation to deeply learn and ideate new solutions to the world's most pressing challenges. At UTEC, we believe that learning in a transdisciplinary and contextualized fashion and in connection with the real world, alongside the most important local and global industries, are richer and more effective means for developing our students into adaptable, lifelong, flexible learners and change agents.

In this context, Moray has been thought out as an open platform, consisting of both a physical and virtual space as well as a set of flexible protocols through which faculty, students, staff and experts from top universities around the world can work interdisciplinarily and in a collaborative manner. The main goal is to enhance teaching and learning dynamics and experiences both inside and out of UTEC's classrooms, fully embracing and harnessing the digitalization of the educational world. Moray crystallizes the innovative culture embedded in UTEC's DNA; such directive for radical innovation was, as mentioned before, first given as a mandate by its founders and later incorporated as one of three constitutive pillars – research, entrepreneurship, and educational innovation- during the university's design phase. Currently, it lies at the very core of the new educational model. This culture promotes experimentation, fosters a code of radical openness, is data-driven, and understands “failure” as something to be learned from and as an important step towards continuous improvement. Just like the Incas utilized Moray and its terraced depressions in concentric circles as an experimentation and testing station, the new curricular reform within UTEC has in the Educational Innovation Laboratory a platform from which to design, launch and monitor new initiatives. These initiatives can range from implementing technology-enhanced learning experiences to redesigning the underlying skill-building and knowledge-transfer activities, all of these with the objective of creating deep learning experiences in our students.

3 Design Process

The first step in the design process consisted of an initial (and mostly theoretical) benchmarking analysis, which allowed us to determine the key success factors for constituting an Educational Innovation Laboratory. Different laboratories and centers in ten countries -USA, UK, Finland, Australia, Singapore, Korea, Uruguay, Colombia, Chile and Mexico- were covered in this analysis. From the observations, it soon became clear that Moray had to become an overlay of physical and virtual spaces, serving as a focal point for the different actors and stakeholders of UEM. It had to be based on the following principles:

1. Be founded on a co-working and collaboration philosophy, a “radical openness” code of conduct and be staffed with a multidisciplinary team. In order to avoid blind spots and to be able to create communities and networks beyond the physical space or the confinement of the university, teamwork becomes essential.
2. Be student-centered and ensure the engagement of all stakeholders within the ecosystem. Students should be co-creators of UEM’s deliberate practices and changes.
3. Behave as an incubator and/or accelerator of educational projects. By fostering a culture of rapid prototyping, testing, iteration and continuous improvement; we aim to scale and replicate successful projects in other and larger contexts.
4. Be research-based and data-driven, as well as prone to incorporating new technologies and digital media into projects. In order to guide decision-making processes and to communicate them efficiently, we intend to catalyze otherwise tedious processes, capture as much data as possible and produce relevant information models.
5. Provide constant mentoring and feedback to establish best practices that could later be effectively communicated to and shared with diverse audiences.

Taking these key principles into account, the following sequence of steps was pursued for the implementation of Moray:

1. Identification of main stakeholders and possible contributors, participants and collaborators. In addition to the permanent staff, the champions (i.e. change leaders) and ambassadors within the organization were identified early on in the curricular transformation process, sent to specific “train-the-trainers” workshops and are now an integral part of the Laboratory. Furthermore, UTEC’s Provost and the Heads of Department have contributed to the design and launch phase, and now collaborate with Moray on a regular basis.
2. In-situ contrasting of benchmarking analysis. After carrying out the benchmarking analysis based on available literature, an important step was to corroborate our theoretical findings on-site. Thus, Moray’s Director and UTEC’s Provost embarked on a journey that allowed for the exchange of ideas with the leaders of the most reputable Teaching and Learning Laboratories around the world and also hosted such leaders at UTEC. The main goal of these visits was to procure intensive knowledge-transfer dynamics and a bi-directional sharing of good practices with these experts.




Fig. 1. Stakeholders' map showing the different players that are being brought together by the Laboratory for Educational Innovation. At the heart of the map are UTEC students, whose experience of the new UEM is critical when it comes to orienting the Laboratory's efforts.

3. Development of a strategic plan (Figure 2). This strategic planning process had to take into account the following aspects:
 - (a) Main activities: the initial focus areas would determine the activities to be developed and would take into account existing gaps and available resources. These would range from pedagogical workshops, rapid prototyping with share-backs, presentations, one-on-one sessions, co-teaching dynamics, peer reviews, faculty exchange programs, content production, portfolio building, among others.
 - (b) Personnel: the people hired for the laboratory should have focus areas aligned with the main educational themes and problems that the Laboratory would be focusing on with the option of calling in external talent for pedagogical consultancies.
 - (c) Space: the main functions and actions of the Laboratory would also determine the type of space needed. Aspects to take into consideration here include location, flexibility of the given space, and the atmosphere to be created with the furniture, equipment and lighting.
 - (d) Budget: the budget should be divided into four main pillars: personnel, space, equipment and operating costs by project.
4. Selecting and launching pilot project(s) that should evaluate the possible benefit to the final user and describe the concrete strategy to attain an objective. In this phase, it was important to ensure that the initiative served as enough of a proof of concept to merit the scale-up; otherwise, more pilot projects or prototypes would be necessary.




Fig. 2. Moray’s strategic planning process for the successful implementation of UEM. The benchmarking analysis and internal diagnosis (step 1) led to the definition of goals (step 2) and a follow-on gap analysis (step 3). The initial main activities (i.e. pilot projects) were focused on bridging these gaps (step 4) and were planned carefully by allocating the necessary resources to attain quick wins (step 5). The final step (6) consisted of implementing the initial plan and tracking the impact and results on the implementation of UEM. The process is iterative for continuous improvement of Moray and UEM at large.

4 Preliminary Results

For the first pilot project, each coordinator of the common core humanities and science courses was tasked with revising and redesigning his/her course, to guarantee the development of UEM’s five core competencies and to ensure consistency across the curriculum. These faculty members now gather at Moray every Friday for the “F3 - Faculty Feedback Fridays” meetings, a roundtable discussion and feedback session that aims at aligning on the overall objectives, iterating and improving these critical courses on the go. The preliminary effects of this course overhaul on the development of critical competencies are shown in Figure 3.




Fig. 3. Comparison of levels of achievement in UEM-related competencies in UTEC’s previous vs. present education models. Faculty members were asked to fill-out detailed rubrics for each student in every course and in relation to specific competencies. The bar graphs show a significant increase of “good” (in red) and “excellent” (in blue) scores in 2017 vs. 2016, which coincides with the launch of the new educational model.

The Laboratory has also launched a “Fellows Program” that chooses and supports three to four faculty members throughout a semester to backwards-design and innovate either the entirety of their courses or a significant portion of them, based on hand-picked literature that is read and discussed in an applied and reflective manner. This course expects to enhance teachers’ competencies when designing and implementing a student-centered course. By training the teachers, they will be in the capacity to rework their course so as to have better teaching and learning dynamics, consistent competency-building practices, and continuous formative assessment. The basis of this course was adapted from Richard Felder’s framework for Teaching and Learning STEM[9] and is shown in Figure 4.

Additionally, Moray is conducting several data-gathering assessments and surveys with both students and faculty. For instance, a rigorous classroom observation protocol -an adaptation of The Classroom Observation Protocol for Undergraduate STEM (COPUS) [10] -has been administered to students in order to capture the level of student engagement during classes, which is regarded as a direct reflection of the teacher’s ability to implement emerging pedagogies successfully (Figure 5a). The laboratory is also disseminating a student survey at two points during the term, to measure motivation, relatedness, autonomy, perception of competence and overall satisfaction with each course (Figure 5b). The results from these two studies suggest that having a more student-centered class, as well as other critical factors, should have a positive effect on students’ academic performance.

Moray has also already organized three main faculty-wide, week-long teaching and learning workshops to prepare each and every member of the academic department for the new educational approach. The faculty will continue to have several capacity building / training opportunities offered by external experts in the field of emerging pedagogies. Faculty will also receive scientific literature, research papers and other tools and resources each week, which can be reviewed individually and later discussed at Moray’s Journal Club. Finally, UTEC’s faculty is also encouraged to work




Fig. 4. Structural model for the design of faculty workshops. The semester-long course for selected faculty members follows the same structure as they should follow when designing a new course under UEM guidelines. Since the student lies at the center of the process, the initial design is shaped by the desired learning outcomes, his/ her preconceptions, prior knowledge, and cognitive capacities. The teaching and learning process has to motivate and engage the student in such a way that skills are developed through experimentation and active learning. Finally, the evaluation phase tests whether the desired skills have been developed and the learning outcomes attained. Moray has the overarching task of ensuring coherence and consistency for the development of UEM competencies.

across-fields at Moray in order to devise new transdisciplinary content and explore co-teaching opportunities. Some of these initiatives have already been rolled out; examples include courses like *Art and Technology*, *Geopolitics of Water*, and *Global Challenges*. These courses are meant to be a platform for students to approach a specific topic from different disciplinary perspectives while making sense of these diverse approaches as a whole.

The Laboratory is also designing new spaces that are more suited to promoting collaboration and creative thinking than a traditional classroom format does. By replacing the layout, equipment, and furniture corresponding to the “sage on the stage”




Fig. 5. Samples of data dashboards illustrating the level of achievement of two UEM-specific goals: (a) student engagement (as accounted by an adaptation of The Classroom Observation Protocol for Undergraduate in STEM[10]) and its correlation with final grades. Using a Tobit regression model, the data shows a positive and statistically significant effect ($p < 0.05$) of 0.803, meaning that a one point higher level of engagement could improve a student's final grade by 0.803 points. (b) the impact on academic performance of a perception of student-centeredness, self-determination, autonomy and competence. The graph exhibits the positive effect of these variables, with student-centeredness showing the strongest and most statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) effect on academic performance.

model, with a new set-up that instead sparks a “guide on the side” take on didactic practices [11], we expect to trigger changes in students’ behavior in line with 21st century skills such as teamwork, communication and collaboration. In addition, Moray has also been involved in the re-shaping and re-tasking of some areas within our campus to facilitate the “design-build-implement-test” process in proper engineering workspaces [12]. Accordingly, UTEC now has a large workspace, called UTEC Gar-

age, where students gather, exchange ideas, think them through in a hands-on approach and test them in a safe environment (Figure 6). Likewise, UTEC's FabLab allows students to 3D-print and prototype any idea quickly, under the guidance of experts in relevant fields. More advanced ideas that have been matured over several semesters will have the chance to be advanced in UTEC's very own business incubator/accelerator, UTEC Ventures. The university also holds over 30 laboratories with different field-specific resources and equipment that are open to students and faculty for research projects or prototyping exercises. All these spaces are thought to be spatial extensions of Moray where students can gather to develop their engineering and design projects.



Fig. 6. UTEC Garage as a MakerSpace lying at the heart of Moray. This 250 m²-large space is open around the clock to allow students, faculty and external stakeholders to gather in groups and develop prototypes of different levels of fidelity for their diverse projects. It is also a space for transdisciplinary workshops and roundtable discussions.

Finally, and as an umbrella program to all these initiatives, Moray has adapted and is now piloting a framework for the evaluation of teaching achievement. Such framework has been put forth as part of a study commissioned by the Royal Academy of Engineering (RAE) to a leading pedagogical consultancy. This Career Framework for University Teaching[13], proposes a standardized and transparent -therefore, portable- method for evaluating and evidencing teaching achievement. The metrics proposed by the Framework have been designed after gathering input from sixteen universities from around the globe -one of them being UTEC- and is already being used here to evaluate teaching performance at different stages: appointment, promotion and professional development. At Moray (and UTEC at large), we view this change in mindset and focus on teaching and learning (as opposed to mainly on research performance) as instrumental for the success of the new educational model.

5 Conclusions and Future Work

UTEC has embarked on a major transformation of its education model, to fulfill its promise of causing a disruptive change in society through the education of a new generation of engineers. This new model is based on two main, interrelated pillars: a novel curricular structure and a teaching and learning–focused Faculty Development Model. We truly believe that the early adoption, enthusiasm and active participation of the faculty in this transformation have all been catalyzed by the fact that the Faculty Development Model was designed and is being launched simultaneously with UEM. It helped faculty understand the undergoing process in a way that allowed them to grasp the need and the sense of urgency for a shift from a highly research-focused to a holistic perspective. Such change is not only more in line with the current trends in higher education around the world, but also truly values teaching/mentoring – and rewards them accordingly. One of the main early findings from our design and implementation process was that faculty need to be involved from the initial stages: they need to be part of the change process and be supported throughout. That is how the idea of Moray, UTEC’s own Educational Innovation Laboratory, was born. Having both a physical and digital space, dedicated personnel and a clear strategic plan for the implementation and change management process underlying the UEM, clearly helped all the involved parties align with the overall goal.

Today, Moray is already fulfilling the promise of serving as an open platform for UTEC and the higher education community to design and test innovative pedagogical initiatives in order to improve engineering education in Peru. There are five foundational competencies that have shaped the new educational model at the university. How to help students develop these throughout their experience at UTEC has been the guiding question and the connecting thread to which this model is the answer. Our initial pilot projects have shown a significant increase in the development of these critical competencies since the launch of UEM. Furthermore, the perception of student-centeredness within UTEC courses has had a noticeable impact on student performance in class. Every course now targets specific skills that are meant to percolate into a variety of fields. Therefore, we expect students to be able to connect the dots between their engineering education and the complexity of the world they will face as professionals. We count on educating students to propitiously navigate scenarios where leadership, ethical behavior, and teamwork, among other skills, will be crucial. As mentioned above, faculty at UTEC are the key players for this model to be implemented successfully. According to this line of reasoning, Moray should continue its path toward becoming the underlying support system for them to feel backed, motivated and empowered throughout and beyond this process.

A challenge faced at the early stages that is now being addressed, was finding the appropriate physical location for Moray. For a short period of time, the laboratory had to share space with other academic departments at UTEC, but it has now been assigned with a spacious area on a very visible and well-transited spot of the university. It will also be adjacent to a faculty lounge, so that teachers can freely navigate from a more relaxed and social atmosphere to a more “academic” space at Moray. This location communicates accessibility and divergent thinking at the same time. Additional

work still remains to be done regarding metrics to measure Moray's impact on the change management process and on the implementation of the educational model. To this means, the Laboratory needs to continue conducting focus groups, interviews and surveys with both students and faculty. Such research tools will be crucial in order to assess the level of satisfaction with and support perceived from Moray through the preparation, launch and implementation of UEM. Also, other ICT-driven processes, such as the integration of additional tools and resources, the path toward a blended or inverted model of instruction, and a more powerful drill-down on Business Intelligence tools to increase student retention rates, still need to be launched by Moray. All of this in order to make sure technology is properly leveraged so as to enable student-centered learning.

6 Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge Miguel Rodriguez from Moray for his collaboration in conducting classroom observations and all the faculty who adopted elements of UEM in their classes during the launch year.

7 References

- [1] M. Besterfield-Sacre, M. F. Cox, M. Borrego, K. Beddoes, and J. Zhu, "Changing engineering education: Views of U.S. faculty, chairs, and deans," *J. Eng. Educ.*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 193–219, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20043>
- [2] R. M. Felder, "The alumni speak," vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 238–239, 2000.
- [3] S. A. Ambrose, M. Lovett, M. W. Bridges, M. Dipietro, and M. K. Norman, *How learning works: Seven research-based principles for smart teaching*. San Francisco, CA, 2010.
- [4] R. M. Felder, D. R. Woods, J. E. Stice, and A. Rugarcia, "the Future of Engineering Education II. Teaching Methods That Work," *Chem. Engr. Educ.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 26–39, 2000.
- [5] M. Claro, "La incorporación de tecnologías digitales en educación . Modelos de identificación de buenas prácticas," Santiago de Chile, 2010.
- [6] H. F. Khazaal, "Problem Solving Method Based On E-Learning System For Engineering Education," *J. Coll. Teach. Learn.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.19030/tlc.v12i1.9066>
- [7] J. M. Correa and J. De Pablos, "New Technologies and Educational Innovation," *Rev. Psicodidáctica*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 133–145, 2009.
- [8] R. Santiago Campión, F. Navaridas Nalda, and L. A. Andía Celaya, "Perceptions of School Leaders about the Use and Value of ICT for Educational Change and Innovation," *Estud. sobre Educ.*, vol. 30, pp. 145–174, 2016.
- [9] R. M. Felder and R. Brent, *Teaching and Learning STEM: A Practical Guide*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2016.
- [10] M. K. Smith, F. H. M. Jones, S. L. Gilbert, and C. E. Wieman, "The Classroom Observation Protocol for Undergraduate STEM (COPUS): A New Instrument to Characterize University STEM Classroom Practices," *CBE-Life Sci. Educ.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 618–627, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.13-08-0154>

- [11] A. King, "From Sage on the Stage to Guide on the Side," *Coll. Teach.*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 30–35, 1993. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87567555.1993.9926781>
- [12] E. F. Crawley, J. Malmqvist, S. Östlund, D. R. Brodeur, and K. Edström, *Rethinking engineering education: The CDIO Approach*. Cham: Springer, 2014.
- [13] R. Graham, "Template for evaluating teaching achievement," London, 2016.

8 Authors

Francisca Barrios is the Head of the Department of Creativity and Humanities at UTEC. She is an educator dedicated to improve quality and access to education. With a strong background teaching ethics, Francisca is committed to building an educational ecosystem in Peru that is shaped by equality and diversity. Francisca holds a BA in Philosophy from Antonio Ruiz de Montoya University and a Masters in Education from the Harvard Graduate School of Education.

Melanie Cornejo is Provost at UTEC and leads the transformation of the educational model at this institution. She holds a BS in Biology and Brain and Cognitive Sciences from MIT and a PhD in Immunology from Harvard University. Apart from her experience in the field of Engineering Education, she has also worked as a management consultant at different firms in the USA and South-America.

Brian O'Hara is Director of Educational Innovation and Quality at Universidad de Ingeniería y Tecnología (UTEC). His academic background is in Philosophy with ample international experience in Education. He also leads the Maba Project by UTEC University and TECSUP Technical Institute, which leverages new technologies and a disruptive pedagogic approach in an effort to bring quality education to children in vulnerable areas within rural and urban-marginal contexts. Brian is a member of the Peruvian National STEM Forum, co-organizer of the Creative Technologies Prototyping Lab (CTPL) and an executive partner at The Curious Learning - a Global Literacy Project. He is also a member of the Education Strategy Committee at IPAE - Acción Empresarial

Francisco Tarazona-Vasquez is a Professor in the department of Chemical Engineering at Universidad de Ingeniería y Tecnología

Mauricio Power is a behavioral economist, with a focus on education and quality management, and currently works as Coordinator of Educational Innovation and Quality at Universidad de Ingeniería y Tecnología (UTEC).

This article is an extended and revised version of a paper presented at the 20th International Conference on Interactive Collaborative Learning and 46th International Conference on Engineering Pedagogy held in Budapest, Hungary, 27-29 September 2017. Article submitted 20 December 2017. Resubmitted 10 January 2018. Final acceptance 12 January 2018. Final version published as submitted by the authors.