Customized Software Testing Framework for Web Applications

https://doi.org/10.3991/ijes.v10i01.29473

Elis Pelivani¹(^{CO}), Adrian Besimi¹, Betim Cico² ¹Faculty of Contemporary Sciences and Technologies, South East European University, Tetovo, North Macedonia ²Faculty of Computer Science & IT, Metropolitan Tirana University, Tirana, Albania elis.pelivani@hotmail.com

Abstract—Software testing is gaining more attention and investments in the IT companies. We have both manual and automated approaches, considering the dynamic of the project the appropriate one is chosen. There have been presented and used several frameworks and tools for this purpose. However, the outcome is that a single tool does not cover all the testing needs of IT systems. In this paper, we will present a customized framework based in Selenium that tackle this gap within the IT systems where several modules with many cross function are involved. We will present the architecture overview and a case study.

Keywords-customized framework, test cases, architecture, components

1 Introduction

1.1 Applying the styles to an existing paper

Software testing is gaining more importance nowadays and the most of the IT companies are investing a lot of time, effort and resources in this field. Moreover, the testing reporting and percentage of success is mandatory in the final steps of many applications acceptance. Especially for some industries where the accepted margin of the failure is close to zero, there is the need to use a set of software testing tools and frameworks for different modules or applications. As we have shown in our previous researches, none of the existing software tools and frameworks offer all the features and testing needs for all the companies. For this reason, most of them have a mixed of testing, manual and automated [1, 2].

The goal is to have as much as it is possible the automation of the software testing. Consequently, various testing tools and frameworks are reviewed to have the best choice [3]. For many of them, the best solution would be to have a customized framework that gets the most needed features from several frameworks and optimizes the outcome of the testing. This comes with high costs of investment in time, money and resources.

In this research, we will try to introduce a new customized framework that is based on the most powerful and used software-testing framework, Selenium. We will show

in detail the architecture and the information flow of this customization [4]. At the end of it, we will also show a real case study with all the results of its implementation. This will show how this customized framework improves our testing capabilities for this kind of applications that are composed by many modules. Writing a new document with this template.

2 Customized framework

The aim of our customized automation framework is:

- creating and running tests and test suites with full control over test concurrency and producing extremely thorough yet understandable test reports and
- providing technological extensions for testing systems of any type.

2.1 Main architecture components

In the below figure it is shown the architecture overview of the framework, Figure 1.



Fig. 1. Architecture overview

The following are the primary component types found in the framework:

A platform core that includes everything needed for creating, running and reporting tests. [5] One of the core classes of the framework contains main methods that are needed to perform user actions on an WebElement(DOM element) such as click(), get-Text(), selectCheckbox(), deselectCheckbox(), moveMouseOverElement(), rightClick-OnElement(). Every general driver method is located in this class.

The Core components of the architecture of the customised framework are:

- config: Parses the configuration files and provides the framework with their parameters.
- *Scheduler*: Parses test suites and concurrency data and organizes it into a tree structure. Executes the tests in the tree while adhering to the specified concurrency requirements.
- *Content:* Provides the test classes with broad data/document-based validation functionality.
- *Service*: Manages the life cycle of services and declares service provider interfaces for platform extensions.
- logfortesting: Keeps track of test execution and logs it.
- Invoker: Provides an implementation for default test class mechanism and defines a service provider interface for executing tests.
- *Data*: Defines what a service is.

Execution extensions that allow running tests from the command line, as well as the JUnit unit testing framework.

Services which contain service provider interfaces for interacting with a system under test and give mechanisms to execute tests from the command line and the JUnit unit testing framework [6] (e.g. a web GUI, database, JMS).

2.2 The schedules class

The basic entry point for parsing a given Suite or Test class and constructing the described tree structure is SuiteParser. The class org.test.scheduler.RunnerTree is used to group all pending tests into a tree structure. The tree is made up of multiple types of nodes (represented by child classes of the org.test.scheduler.node.RunnerNode parent class):

- **Parent nodes:** Child nodes are tree nodes that combine other nodes. A test suite is represented by a parent node, which combines test cases and/or test suites. The class org.test.scheduler.node.RunnerGroup represents them.
- Leaf nodes are tree nodes that do not have any children. Each leaf node represents an atomic test case. The class org.test.scheduler.node.RunnerLeaf represents them.

The root node of the tree is mapped to the base test suite class. With RunnerNode as the component, RunnerNode as the leaf, and RunnerGroup as the composite, the tree node classes form a Composite Pattern. Because a test class method might be called multiple times, Java class elements are assigned to nodes in the following way:

Child node test class -> child node test method -> child node test invocation (or parameter set) -> leaf node.

2.3 Other settings

Java's Executor mechanism: RunnerTree schedules and executes Runnables that invoke the test cases using Java's ExecutorService mechanism. The essential concept is that an abstract service definition (ExecutorService) is used to asynchronously execute

tasks (Runnable or Callable) and that an object handle (Future) is used to query execution status, obtain execution results, wait for termination, or cancel execution.

Some of the main plugins used from this customised framework are:

Test rail Connector: Allows framework test to send testrail results via the testrail connection module (using Rest API), Figure 2. The utility class that creates the TestPlanEntry is called first, followed by the Listener that updates the results case by case, and finally a utility class that cleans up the un-tested cases from the testPlanEntry [7].



Fig. 2. Jenkins results displayed in test rail

Test Cloud Manager: The Cloud Manager acts as a "Load Balancer" for the Selenium hosts that are needed to run all the test cases. It is a comprehensive application made up of various parts (and supports extensions).

DatabaseTest, Database service is one of the most significant topics in database testing. This Database Service allows creating, updating, and querying databases.

Jenkins-plugin: Jenkins Plugin provides useful data for tasks that run framework-based tests. Each task must perform a unique Post-Build phase provided by this Plugin to obtain the framework data from the executed run in order to use the charts. Following that, both the run and the job have an additional button on the left sidebar. The statistics for the run concentrate on the current and maybe past runs, while the statistics for the job concentrate on the change in results over time. Jenkins Plugin offers sophisticated statistics and graphics for test executions [8]. This plugin helps analysing how test cases perform over multiple releases, allowing to rapidly spot potentially unstable test cases (or instabilities in Application under test). It also helps identifying trends by comparing results with previous builds, Figure 3.



Visual Data Editor (VDE): For test data, framework presently supports two formats: Excel files in a customized format and XML files in framework's own testdata xml format. To edit the latter, the framework ecosystem provides the Visual Data Editor as an Eclipse plugin. Because XML files are difficult to edit by hand, this plugin provides an editor for.testdata.xml files in Eclipse, Figure 4.

Visual Data Editor



Fig. 4. The screen of the VDE in eclipse

Logfortesting is a component that offers the technical basis for test execution functional logging. It is used to create HTML or XML reports that are well structured and easy to read [9, 10], Figure 5.

Summary

Starting Time	Finishing Time	Duration [hh:mm:ss.ms]	Test steps	Failed	Error Message
30-Nov-2021 12:06:16	30-Nov-2021 12:43:12	0:36:55.990	391	1	The element is not visible.

Fig. 5. A summary report of the test framework

There are several statuses for the executed test cases. Full list is below [11, 12], Figure 6:

- Pending, a test is scheduled to run, but it has not yet begun.
- Running, a test is presently in progress.
- Passed, a test was run, and no issues were found.
- Ignored, a test was disregarded. This can be due to a variety of factors for different sorts of test objects: This indicates to TestSuites and TestCases that a test data set has been designated to be ignored by the user, that the test has been run, but that the result code has not been propagated to the suite. It indicates that both a prior step was

incorrect, and hence this TestStep was skipped. If a test step was incorrect but was set up to be retried despite an initial failure.

- Failed, a test failed due to a functional error e.g. incorrect value.
- Failed Performance, this implies that the SUT is stuck or takes an inordinate amount of time to reply to a user action.
- Failed Access, A test failed owing to a failed system access. The system is most likely unavailable, or the access setting is incorrect.
- Failed Automation, A test failed owing to an error that a Test Developer might resolve. Technical errors, such as a changing technical identifier, are the most common.
- Inconclusive, a test failed due to an error that has to be examined by a Test Automation Framework Developer.



Fig. 6. Types of failures of the test cases

3 Our experiments

A test case is used to get a better understanding of how the framework operates. The test case consists of eleven different configurations translated in eleven different test

data to go through test steps. Aim of this scenario is to assure that the user can book a seat on a certain date and check that the booking is successfully saved and displayed in My Bookings screen. Scenario steps are displayed in the following print screen (part of the generated report after test case finishes running).

At first, starting and finishing time of test case execution is displayed. Then, steps in test case implementation are organized to form testGroups. Each testGroup gives complete information of the TAS and SUT at that step, Figure 7.

 $app.testSuite.UpdateBookings.ID_CreateNewBooking.testID_36468\text{-}CID_DefaultConfiguration$

Summary										
Starting Time Finishing Time Duration Test steps Failed Error Message										
08-Dec-2021 19:41:04 08-Dec-2021 19:43:21 0:02:17:563 29 0										
Test Step Groups										
Step 1 Login with User										
[+] Step 2 Go to My Bookings screen (5 test stops)										
[+] Step 3 Select a booking from the list (1 test stop)										
(+) Step 4 Click on the delete button (1 test step)										
[+] Step 5 Go to New Booking screen (4 test steps)										
(+) Step 6 Select a date and a working place (1 test step)										
Step & Click on Book Seat button and check that booking is successfully saved										
[+] Step 9 Go to My Bookings screen and check that created booking is shown (2 test steps)										

Fig. 7. Report of the test steps

Often, certain functionality must be invoked before (set-up) or after (teardown) test execution. This can be achieved by putting relevant code into methods. For this reason, each test case class that is added in the framework has to extend a base class: BaseTestCaseClass.

This class contains all the methods that are needed to be executed before a test starts running and after it finishes execution (e.g., installation and configuration issues with test environment: database setup and initial load, services start/stop). Beside these methods, other Jenkins jobs are configured to start automatically before test Suite begins running [13] (e.g., database clear up).

Login method is same for all test cases, Figure 8. It can take different test data (username and password value) based on the functionality it will test. Following print screen is part of the generated report, login method is displayed with full information how it operates. Firstly, it goes to the provided URL (application domain), then maximizes the chrome driver window. Afterwards, it interacts with the SUT (System under Test), inserts values in username and password input fields. Technical Locator column indicates how xpath, classname or CSS locator locates the WebElements. Assertions are made for each operation conducted on the application to ensure that it performs properly.

Step 1 L	tep 1 Login with User													
Started	Command	Element Type	Element Name	Data	Error Message	Result / Attachments	Service	Technical Locator	Technical Arguments	Comment				
45	open					rul								
45	windowMaximize					nul								
45	windowFocus					rul								
68	type	InputField	usemame	Test01		nul		usemame	4					
78	type	InputField	password	Test1234		nul		password	4					
10s	click	Button	login			nul		lingut@value="login"]	4					

Fig. 8. Login method

The test logs give detailed information about the execution steps, actions and responses of a test case and/or test suite. However, the logs alone cannot provide a good overview of the overall execution result. For this, it is necessary to have in place reporting functionality. After each execution of the test suite or test case, a concise report must be created and published [14].

Whenever a test case encounters a failure, the framework makes sure that all information needed to analyse the problem is available/stored, as well as any information regarding the continuation of testing, if applicable. Screenshots and other visual captures are saved during test execution for further use during failure analysis.

Below it is a part of the report that is generated automatically when a test case finishes execution. This report is customized for the framework because Selenium itself does not have reporting tools, extra configuration is needed to be done to produce such detailed reports and log files. For each step, there is full information regarding WebElements, user actions, test data, assertion of that step.

In case of second run, test case has failed, and its execution time is significantly higher than the other runs. A maximum execution time has been specified in the framework core, a corresponding timer is started that will terminate (and fail) the test case if it has not finished in the configured time. Besides that, for each action performed on the SUT there is a taskCompletionTimeout which checks whether an action in performed which a predefined time in milliseconds, Figure 9.

app.testSuite.UpdateBookings.ID_CreateNewBooking.testID_36468

Execution Summary						Ter	Test Cases			Failure Types					Skipped	
Starting Time	Finishing Time	Duration [hh:mm:ss.ms]	Work [hh:mm:ss.ms]	All	AI	Passed	Failed	Skipped	Functional	Access	Performance	Automation	Framework	Passed	Failed	
08-Dec-2021 19:41:04	08-Dec-2021 20:20:33	0.39.28.914	0.39.28.644	0	11	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Test Cases		Name				Di	ration	, Test S	teps Comm	ent						
ann testSuite I Indate	Inchines ID CreateNes	Rocking testID 14	MARCID Defend	Configur	ation	[hh:m	m:\$9.m	20								
and testSuite UndateF	lookings ID: CreateNex	Booking testID 3/	5468-CID First C	orficural	ion.	0.0	27 714	38								
ano testSuite LindateF	lookings ID CreateNew	Rocking testID 34	5458-CID Second	Confo	ratio	0 00	29 098	31								
aco testSuite UpdateE	lockings ID CreateNew	Booking testID 34	5458-CID Third C	ortom	tion	0.0	47 234	41								
aco testSuite Updatel	lookings ID CreateNew	Booking testID 34	5458-CID Forth (Confours	500	0.0	45 288	40								
app testSuite UpdateE	lookings ID CreateNex	Booking testID 34	S468-CID Fifth C	onfoural	ion	0.0	28 593	29								
aco testSuite UpdateE	lookings ID: CreateNex	Booking testID 34	6468-CID Sixth C	onfigura	tion	0.0	28.636	29								
aco testSuite UpdateE	lookings ID CreateNew	Booking testID 34	6468-CID Sevent	h Config	urat	0.0	25.052	28								
aco testSuite UpdateE	lookings ID CreateNew	Booking testID 34	6468-CID Eighth	Configur	ation	0.03	28.573	29								
aco testSuite UpdateE	lookings ID CreateNew	Booking testID 34	5468-CID Ninth (Confours	600	0.03	24.848	28								
aco testSuite UpdateE	lookings ID CreateNew	Booking testID 34	5458-CID Tenth	Conform	tion	0.03	26 049	28								

Fig. 9. Test execution results

4 Conclusion

There are many different types of automated testing frameworks, so choosing the proper one for your needs is important. Using one that is well structured, can improve the team's productivity by boosting test correctness, maximizing test coverage, and minimizing costs and maintenance—eventually providing a higher return on investment. We believe that our customized framework complies with the key concepts that support easy development, evolution, and maintenance of a Test Automation Solution.

Each component of the framework has a single responsibility [15], it is in charge of a one task, e.g.: setting up the driver, logging results, generating detailed execution reports, creating and reading data files, executing teardown methods. All these functionalities are secluded in platform core, which leads to another good principle being applied: tests (scenarios) are separated from automation framework (as already mentioned in case study, test data and test cases are in different packages from framework core). [16] In conclusion, although some of the principles must be followed, it is important that the automation framework serves the business needs and is customized according to the application. That is why this kind of customized framework is wanted; otherwise, one test automation solution would work for all kinds of applications. Further analysis and discussion will be done for the presented customized framework with more real case studies.

5 References

- [1] E. Pelivani and B. Cico, "A Comparative Study of Automation Testing Tools for Web Applications," 2021 10th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing (MECO), 2021, pp. 1–6, https://doi.org/10.1109/MECO52532.2021.9460242
- [2] E. Pelivani, A. Besimi, B. Cico, "An Empirical Study of User Interface Testing Tools", International Journal on Information Technologies & Security, № 1 (vol. 14), 2022.
- [3] Anwar, Nahid & Kar, Susmita. (2019). Review Paper on Various Software Testing Techniques & Strategies. Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology. 43–49, <u>https://doi.org/10.34257/GJCSTCVOL19IS2PG43</u>
- [4] Muhammad Abid Jamil; Muhammad Arif; Normi Sham Awang Abubakar; Akhlaq Ahmad, "Software Testing Techniques: A Literature Review," 2016 6th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology for The Muslim World (ICT4M), 2016, pp. 177–182, <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ICT4M.2016.045</u>
- [5] Raju Ranjan, "A Review Paper on Software Testing", International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (www.jetir.org), ISSN:2349-5162, vol.6, issue 1, pp. 25–32, January 2019, Available: <u>http://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIREQ06004.pdf</u>
- [6] Okezie, Adaugo & Odun-Ayo, Isaac & Bogle, S. (2019). A Critical Analysis of Software Testing Tools. Journal of Physics: Conference Series. 1378. 042030, <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1378/4/042030</u>
- [7] Mojtaba. Shahin, Muhammad Ali Babar, Liming Zhu, "Continuous Integration, Delivery and Deployment: A Systematic Review on Approaches, Tools, Challenges and Practices," in IEEE Access, vol. 5, 2017, pp. 3909–3943, <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2685629</u>
- [8] Ateşoğulları, Dilara & Mishra, Alok. White Box Test Tools: A Comparative View. International Journal of Information and Computer Security. Vol. 11, 2019, pp 79–90.

- [9] Satish Gojare, Rahul Joshi, Dhanashree Gaigaware, Analysis and Design of Selenium WebDriver Automation Testing Framework, Procedia Computer Science, vol. 50, 2015, pp. 341–346, ISSN 1877-0509, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.04.038
- [10] Khushboo Sawant; Reetu Tiwari; Swapnil Vyas; Pawan Sharma; Aryan Anand; Shivani Soni, "Implementation of Selenium Automation & Report Generation Using Selenium Web Driver & ATF," 2021 International Conference on Advances in Electrical, Computing, Communication and Sustainable Technologies (ICAECT), 2021, pp. 1–6, <u>https://doi. org/10.1109/ICAECT49130.2021.9392455</u>
- [11] Nidhika Uppal Vinay Chopra, "Design and Implementation in Selenium IDE with Web-Driver", International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887), puter Applications, vol. 46, no. 12, pp. 8–11, ISSN:0975 – 8887, May 2012.
- [12] Devi, Jyoti & Bhatia, Kirti & Sharma, Rohini. (2017). A Study on Functioning of Selenium Automation Testing Structure. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering. vol. 7, pp. 855–862, <u>https://doi.org/10.23956/ijarcsse/ V715/0204</u>
- [13] Ali Raza, Sergey Oplavin, Agiletestware Pangolin Connector for TestRail, <u>https://plugins.jenkins.io/</u>, last update 2020.
- [14] Ravinder Singh, Virender Singh, Selenium WebDriver Achitecture, <u>https://www.toolsqa.com/selenium-webdriver/selenium-webdriver-architecture/</u>, November 22, 2021.
- [15] Selenium community, Selenium overview <u>https://www.selenium.dev/</u>, last modified December 7, 2021.
- [16] Andrew Pollner (Chair), Bryan Bakker, Armin Born, Mark Fewster, Jani Haukinen, Raluca Popescu, Ina Schieferdecker. Advanced Level Syllabus Test Automation Engineer, 2016.

6 Authors

Elis Pelivani, PhD student as South East European University, Lecture of Mediterranean University of Albania, areas of research-Software testing, Artificial intelligence, Software defined Networking. Author can be contacted at <u>ep24253@seeu.edu.mk</u>

Adrian Besimi, Vice-rector for Academic Planning and Digitalization SEEU; Associate Professor, South East European University at Faculty of Contemporary Sciences and Technologies, research interest in fields of B2B, Data Mining, Web Services. Author can be contacted at <u>a.besimi@seeu.edu.mk</u>

Betim Cico, full professor in Metropolitan, Tirana University, Albania; research interest in fields of Cloud computing, Digital System Design, Digital Image Processing, Advance Computer Architecture, Internet of Things, FPGA and software Engineering. He is author of many research papers, projects and supervisor of several PhD students Author can be contacted at betim.cico/@gmail.com

Article submitted 2022-01-12. Resubmitted 2022-02-15. Final acceptance 2022-02-19. Final version published as submitted by the authors.